

Individual Report for Instructor Razakh (30280-20243 : CSCI-596 Scientific Computing and Visualization (30280))

Project Title: USC Learning Experience Evaluations - Fall 2024

Courses Audience: **98** Responses Received: **11** Response Ratio: **11.22%**

Report Comments


Please download and save a PDF copy of this report



Creation Date: Thursday, January 9, 2025

LEARNING EXPERIENCE SUBSCALE ANALYSIS FOR TEACHING ASSISTANT

Learning Experience Subscale Average Score for Teaching Assistant



Competency		Standard Deviation
TA_Instructional Practices	3.90	+/-0.31
TA_Inclusion Practices	3.93	+/-0.26
TA_Assessment Practices	4.00	+/-0.00

TA_INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The teaching assistant carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter	6	3.83	0.41
The teaching assistant encouraged questioning and discussion of course topics from the students	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant encouraged me to do my best work.	7	3.86	0.38

TA_INCLUSION PRACTICES

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The teaching assistant used a variety of teaching approaches to meet the needs of all students.	7	3.86	0.38
The teaching assistant was receptive to the expression of diverse student viewpoints.	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant demonstrated sensitivity to students' needs and diverse life experiences.	7	4.00	0.00
The course materials included diverse perspectives OR applications to diverse populations.	7	3.86	0.38


TA_ASSESSMENT PRACTICES

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The assessments/assignments reflected what was covered in the course	7	4.00	0.00
The grades I have received thus far reflect the QUALITY of my performance in the course.	7	4.00	0.00
The criteria for good performance on the assignments or assessments were clearly communicated.	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant's evaluation of my performances was constructive.	7	4.00	0.00

LEARNING EXPERIENCE SUBSCALE ANALYSIS FOR TA: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES

TA_INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES


	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The teaching assistant carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter	6	3.83	0.41
The teaching assistant encouraged questioning and discussion of course topics from the students	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant encouraged me to do my best work.	7	3.86	0.38



LEARNING EXPERIENCE SUBSCALE ANALYSIS FOR TA: INCLUSION PRACTICES

TA INCLUSION PRACTICES


	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The teaching assistant used a variety of teaching approaches to meet the needs of all students.	7	3.86	0.38
The teaching assistant was receptive to the expression of diverse student viewpoints.	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant demonstrated sensitivity to students' needs and diverse life experiences.	7	4.00	0.00
The course materials included diverse perspectives OR applications to diverse populations.	7	3.86	0.38



LEARNING EXPERIENCE SUBSCALE ANALYSIS FOR TA: ASSESSMENT PRACTICES

TA_ASSESSMENT PRACTICES

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
The assessments/assignments reflected what was covered in the course	7	4.00	0.00
The grades I have received thus far reflect the QUALITY of my performance in the course.	7	4.00	0.00
The criteria for good performance on the assignments or assessments were clearly communicated.	7	4.00	0.00
The teaching assistant's evaluation of my performances was constructive.	7	4.00	0.00




TA: Is there additional information or feedback that you would like to share with teaching assistant Taufeq Mohammed Razakh?

Comments	
good TA, very friendly	


University of Southern California

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT ANALYSIS


Number of TA Interactions Outside of Class



Approximately how many hours did you spend on coursework outside of the classroom?



In what ways have you participated in your learning for this course? (Please select all that apply.)



SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

Supplemental Questions for the USC Viterbi School of Engineering This evaluation is for teaching assistant Taufeq Mohammed Razakh .

1. The teaching assistant treats stude respectfully, and with integrity.	ents professior	nally,	2. Grading in the course wa standards.	s reflective	e of academi	cally rigorous
1 Strongly Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 3 Agree (0) 4 Strongly Agree (7) [Total (7)] 0	50%	100.00% 100%	1 Strongly Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 3 Agree (0) 4 Strongly Agree (7) [Total (7)]	0.00%	50%	100.00%
Statistics		Value	Statistics			Value
Response Count		7	Response Count			7
Mean		4.00	Mean			4.00
Median		4.00	Median			4.00
Mode		4	Mode			4
Standard Deviation		0.00	Standard Deviation			0.00

Overall, how would you rate teaching assistant Taufeq Mohammed Razakh?

Overall, how would you rat Mohammed Razakh?	e teaching	g assistant Tau	feq
2 Below Average (0) 3 Average (0)	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	<mark>100.00%</mark> 100%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			7
Mean			5.00
Median			5.00
Mode			5
Standard Deviation			0.00

Overall, how would you rate this course?

Overall, how would you rate this course?	
1 Poor (0) 0.00% 2 Below Average (0) 0.00% 3 Average (0) 0.00% 4 Above Average (2) 20.00% 5 Excellent (8) [Total (10)] 0 5	80.00% 50% 100%
Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	4.80
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.42